

NEWSLETTER

networking arts & sciences deans

Volume 39 | Number 4 | December/January 2016



CCAS Executive Director Anne-Marie McCartan welcomes attendees to the opening plenary session.

50th Annual Meeting Draws Record Attendance

Nearly 600 deans gathered at the Washington Hilton November 4-7 for this year's annual meeting. It was fitting that Washington, D.C., was the location, as it was 50 years ago, in 1965,



that a rump group of deans broke off from the National Association of State Universities and Land-Grant Colleges (NASULGC) to form the Council of Colleges of Arts and Sciences in State Universities and Land-Grant Colleges. This year's conference was organized by Program Chair **Elizabeth (Beth) Say** (CSU, Northridge). Keynote speaker Shirley Malcom, head of Human Resources Education Programs at the

American Association for the Advancement of Science, provided a lively opening talk on "Lenses and Frames: When the Arts and Sciences Meet."

The annual presidential address was delivered by outgoing president **Timothy D. Johnston** (UNC at Greensboro). Entitled, <u>"Reimagining the Liberal Disciplines in Our 2nd Half-Century,"</u> he suggested that the test of whether a discipline should be designated as liberal in its practices and applications is the extent to which it contributes to its practitioners' ability to responsibly exercise important freedoms as members of their society.

Johnston introduced the term "curiosity-driven research," as opposed to research undertaken solely to solve particular problems or result in economic benefits. This non-problem-based CONTINUED on PAGE 2



Attendees react to the reading of a congratulatory letter from President Barack Obama.





50th Annual Meeting

CONTINUED from PAGE 1

research can be justified to various publics, he argued, by clearly articulating "how the knowledge and understanding produced by such research benefits society--whether by enriching cultural opportunities, by helping us understand the historical and cultural context of contemporary events, by giving us new ideas about the proper administration of justice, or by predicting how demographic shifts will affect future demand for social services, to name just a few."

Dean Johnston's full remarks are printed in this newsletter and are available here.

Friday's lunch featured the new Secretary of the Smithsonian, David J. Skorton, who offered remarks on "Colleges of Arts and Sciences: Continuity and Change at the University's Core," followed by questions from the audience about the role that the Smithsonian can or should play in promoting the disciplines of the liberal arts and sciences. In today's changing and challenging environment, Skorton suggested four areas that he believes higher education leaders can address (paraphrased for brevity, below):

First, we should acknowledge change and adapt to it. That doesn't mean changing for change's sake or following the latest trend, but we can take new methods that work best and incorporate them into our universities.

Second, we have to work together better, both within and among our institutions and with outside partners. Collaboration brings unique perspectives into juxtaposition, often leading to unexpected connections and flashes of insight and inspiration.

Third, we must do a better job of communicating the value of the arts and sciences. Universities must help their faculties and their researchers become more effective champions of the liberal arts. Gone are the days when researchers and academics could confine themselves to their labs or offices and not worry about justifying their work. Gone, too, are the days when scholars and scientists could afford to couch our language only in professional jargon. Today, educators of all stripes must be able to advocate for liberal education, to the public and to politicians alike.

Finally, we must make it a priority to engage students. That means tailoring education to meet students where they are in their lives: underprivileged students; older students; and even if they have not yet arrived on our campuses. Colleges and universities also should reach out to teachers and help local schools prepare their students for postsecondary learning.

To read his full remarks, click here.

Many of the most well-attended panels involved issues of civility and personnel problems ("Mental and Cognitive Illness in Faculty," "Civility and Ethics in the College," and "Dealing with the Dysfunctional"). Several sessions that focused on best practices in the Dean's office ("Do's and Don'ts of Advisory Boards," "College-Level Strategic Planning," and "Dean's Office Stuck in the Cross Currents") also were well attended, along with two panels on student retention. Hands-down the most popular session was "Mindful Leadership Practices for Deans," lead by Lori Vermeulen (West Chester U), Vickie Shields (Eastern Washington U), and Dolores Guerrero (Texas A&M U, Kingsville).

The format for this year's Gender Issues Breakfast was a panel discussing whether gender plays a role in fundraising and development. Three CCAS deans were joined by Debra Mesch, who directs the Women's Philanthropy Institute at the Indiana University Lilly Family School of Philanthropy. Nearly 100 deans registered for this optional event.

The ever-popular pre-conference workshop on "Conflict Management for Deans" was supplemented, based upon repeated requests from previous attendees, with a new workshop on "Mediating Conflict: Roles for Deans." Elsewhere in this newsletter, you can read where to find resource materials on this topic, provided by the presenter, Suzanne McCorkle. New this year was a preconference workshop for associate and assistant deans on "Positioning Oneself for, and Transitioning into, a Deanship." Organized by Associate Dean **Joe Wilferth** (U of Tennessee at Chattanooga), it drew a crowd of eighty A/A deans.

Many panelists sent along their presentations, which can be viewed on the bottom right-hand column of the CCAS homepage, <u>www.ccas.net</u>.

















Luncheon and Plenary Address



Festive Celebration of 50th Milestone at the National Press Club

The CCAS 50th Anniversary Gala was held on Friday evening, November 6, at the National Press Club. With its mahogany paneling, crystal chandeliers, and prominent platform and podium, the National Press Club proved the perfect setting for celebrating this momentous occasion. Upon their arrival, guests were greeted to musical entertainment provided by the Jazz Studies Program at the Uni-

versity of District of Columbia, and, once inside the Ballroom, were offered the Golden Jubilee Cocktail. A beautiful Commemorative Book was prepared to mark the milestone, featuring a timeline of noteworthy events, photos and brief statements from past presidents, and 46 advertisements from CCAS Colleges/Schools.

The presenting sponsor for the Gala was Academic Analytics, with Southwest Airlines and Jossey-Bass as contributors.

We were privileged to have John Hughes, editor for Bloomberg First Word and the current president of the NPC, offer welcoming remarks, which included snippets of its colorful history



John Hughes, President, The National Press Club, and Editor for Bloomberg First Word

dating back to its founding as a social club for (then all-male) reporters in 1908. **Nancy Gutierrez**, who chaired the 50th Anniversary Committee, welcomed guests and thanked those who had contributed to making the event possible, including Event Coordinator Elizabeth Cole. Executive Director Anne-Marie

Those unable to attend the meeting who wish to obtain a copy of the keepsake 50th Anniversary Commemorative Book for \$10 can complete an order form under PUBLICATIONS on the website.

McCartan then had the pleasure of calling to the stage all 19 past presidents who were in attendance (see photo, page 5). The program concluded with toasts offered by outgoing president **Tim Johnston** and newly installed president **Elizabeth Say**. The first toast paid tribute to Anne-Marie McCartan, who will be stepping down as Executive Director next summer; the second to the success of CCAS over the past 50 years.

Many of those attending could not resist the temptation to have themselves photographed at the iconic podium where so many famous national and international figures have appeared. Do not mistake the subjects of the pictures on the following page as actual celebrities. A slideshow of the event can be found on the right-hand column of our website. Photo credits go to Jean Pokorny, CCAS Graphic Designer.

MORE PHOTOS on next page





Orange is the new black.



Master of Ceremonies: Nancy Gutierrez Chairperson, CCAS 50th Anniversary Committee and Dean, University of North Carolina at Charlotte.



PAST PRESIDENTS of CCAS. From left, Matt Moen, 2007-08, Paul Bell, 09-10, Mary Anne Fitzpatrick, 12-13, Denise Battles, 08-09, Phil Certain, 1999-2000, Lee Edwards, 01-02, Sally Frost Mason, 00-01, Gerry Meyer, 69-70, Julia Wallace, 05-06, Nancy Gutierrez, 13-14, Carolyn Adams, 97-98, Anne-Marie McCartan, Executive Director, Tim Johnston, 14-15, Elaine Gardiner, 89-90, Bill Wilkins, 92-93, Holly Smith, 2001, Geoff Feiss, 02-03, Dee Abrahamse, 04-05, Vickie Rutledge Shields, 10-11, and Valerie Gray Hardcastle, 11-12.













The Bowtie Gang

"Reimagining the Liberal Disciplines in Our 2nd Half-Century"

2015 PRESIDENTIAL ADDRESS

Timothy D. Johnston, 2014-2015 CCAS President Dean, College of Arts & Sciences, University of North Carolina at Greensboro



This year, CCAS is celebrating its 50th anniversary – a half-century of networking arts and sciences deans. The first half-century of our existence saw major changes in the landscape of higher education and during those 50 years CCAS accomplished a great deal on

behalf of the arts and sciences. I have no doubt that our second half-century will witness equally dramatic changes and impressive accomplishments. But I don't have a crystal ball, so I'm not going to try and predict what those changes and accomplishments will be. Instead, I want to step back and take a look at the nature of the academic disciplines we represent to see how we might think about them in the decades ahead.

CCAS is an organization dedicated to supporting those, like yourselves, who attend to the welfare of the liberal disciplines in colleges and universities. Our name references Colleges of Arts & Sciences, but we administer academic units that have a variety of titles – we lead both Colleges and Schools not only of Arts & Sciences, but of Liberal Arts & Sciences, of Arts & Letters, of Letters & Sciences, of Sciences & Mathematics, of Social & Behavioral Sciences, and many more. In fact, the academic units currently represented by membership in CCAS carry about 120 different names, and that doesn't include small liberal arts colleges in which the college itself is the only academic unit and the dean may also be the chief academic officer of the institution. Given all of these different titles, what is it that unites us so that we all want to belong to the same organization – other than the fact, of course, that we throw better parties than anyone else?

When I look at my own College, and compare it with the other academic units in my university, I'm struck by another kind of variety – namely the wide range of disciplines represented among my own departments. I'm not unique, not even (in this company, at least) very unusual in administering disciplines as diverse as history and mathematics, chemistry and philosophy, sociology and art, all under the banner of the College of Arts & Sciences. This is a very different kind of composition from the homogeneity of the professional schools in my university (such as Nursing, Business, and Education), or the schools and colleges of law, medicine, or engineering that some of you have on your campuses. Given all of those very different disciplines, what is it that unifies them so that they belong under a single collegiate banner? (Other than the fact that we are well known, at least on my campus, for throwing better parties than anyone else.)

This is a question that I think all of us confront from time to time. When I interviewed for my present position some 15 years ago, the dean of the School of Nursing asked me, with some incredulity in her voice, "Why do you want to be dean of Arts & Sciences – you've got all this weird stuff to manage." Now, I don't know about you but the "weird stuff" – all that diversity and heterogeneity – is a good part of what makes it so much fun to be a dean of arts and sciences. But I will confess that I sometimes envy my colleagues who are deans of professional schools for the much easier time they have explaining just what their job entails. When they're at a party or non-academic social event and someone asks – "So,

what do you do?" – they have a fairly straight-forward answer. When they say "I'm Dean of the School of Business," or "Dean of the College of Nursing" everyone understands, at least vaguely, what that title encompasses. (I leave aside here the separate question of how many non-academic audiences understand what deans of any variety actually do.) But the title "Dean of Arts & Sciences" is less transparent:

"So, what – you cover, uh, painting and chemistry?"

"Well, no – my College also includes anthropology and mathematics and philosophy and psychology and physics and languages and English . . . "

I don't know – somehow that kind of list-making seems to make the task of explaining coherently what I do harder rather than easier.

So what are we? Are we just Colleges of Everything Else? Containers for the stuff that doesn't fit into one of the neat categories defined by a profession? I suppose that's possible – maybe calling something a College of Arts & Sciences is just an administrative convenience – a way of grouping together all the disciplines that don't fit under any of the more coherent appellations such as business, law, nursing, etc. Of course the composition of our colleges and schools does reflect historical and institutional contingencies to some degree, but we should surely be able to identify some principles of disciplinary coherence, from which those contingencies are understood to be deviations. After all, however they are organized administratively, I think we can say that the arts and sciences are truly the core, the heart and soul, of any research or comprehensive university. As I say repeatedly, and unapologetically, at various gatherings of my own College, we could imagine having a perfectly good university at UNC Greensboro without any of our six professional schools; but without the College, without the arts and sciences, we simply wouldn't have a university worthy of the name. We house the disciplines that knit the whole academic enterprise together, in addition to providing the intellectual foundations on which the various professional disciplines build. We really are the core of the university enterprise and as such it's important for us to think about what connects the varied disciplines that make up our variously titled academic units.

Now certainly, one of the things that connect us and our disciplines is our investment in liberal education. This is an important value and it's one that gets a lot of attention, especially during economic downturns when we hear repeatedly how useless the liberal arts are in terms of gainful employment and earning power. Since these assertions frequently come from governors, legislators, some business leaders, boards of trustees or regents, and other influential decision-makers and purse-stringholders, we pay close attention to them. Of course, the current criticisms of the liberal arts, and predictions about their imminent demise are nothing new. Indeed, as Michael Roth describes in his excellent book, Beyond the University: Why Liberal Education Matters1, disagreement over the relative importance of liberal education and practical training in the missions of colleges and universities goes back at least to the 18th century.

In mounting our defense of the liberal arts, we know to avoid some potential pitfalls. For example, I'm sure you've all had the experience of explaining that when we speak of the liberal arts we are not making a political statement. We're not putting the liberal arts in opposition to some hypothetical category of conservative arts. Every year, when I speak to the new class of inductees to UNCG's chapter of Phi Beta Kappa, I talk about the origin of word liberal in higher education and explain its historical connections with the classical and medieval artes liberales – those things that it was deemed important for a free man (and I use the gendered noun advisedly) to know in order to take his place in society. This is a useful and enlightening conversation to have with undergraduates and also with some skeptical parents – it allows one to make important points about the practical utility of a liberal education in today's world and also about the broader value of a liberal education for university graduates as

¹ Michael S. Roth, *Beyond the University: Why Liberal Education Matters*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 2014.

they make their way in society as, we hope, leaders and shapers as well as workers.

I think we have some powerful rebuttals against the critics of liberal education, with which I am sure you are all familiar. Let me briefly mention three in particular:

- First, a university education is preparation for a lifelong career (or series of careers) not training for a job after graduation. That's not to say that we should ignore the fact that most college graduates need to find gainful employment; rather, it's saying that it's very short-sighted to think that the first job is the sole, or even the most important payoff from a university education. We need to encourage people to "go long" in their thinking about this investment. A number of useful analyses have appeared recently showing that long-term earnings of liberal arts graduates compare quite favorably with those of more professionally focused programs, and it's helpful for us to have such data readily to hand
- **Second**, the working life of our graduates will be very varied and unpredictable and the broad, foundational education provided by the liberal arts is the best preparation for that kind of uncertainty. In my presentations to student and parent groups, I emphasize that training in a narrow set of professional skills is today a risky bet and that our graduates should prepare for a life of change and unpredictability. I point out that many people today make very good livings in ways that could not even have been conceived of a decade or two ago, and that it is precisely the restless and inquiring mind of the best liberal arts graduates that equips them to deal with this unpredictability.
- Third, the aim of a university education is not just to prepare graduates for the working world it also aims to prepare them to be useful contributors to society in other ways. We often argue that higher education must be seen as a public good, not just a private

benefit, and by that we mean that the benefits of a liberal education diffuse out from its individual recipients to the society in which they live. Those benefits may be manifested in a multitude of ways – better informed and more critical voters; public servants with a more nuanced sense of the complexities of modern life; business executives and entrepreneurs with the inclination and ability to look beyond next quarter's profits.

So we can certainly make a compelling case that our critics are mistaken in their belief that an education in the liberal arts and sciences has no value in the modern world. But beyond that, those critics don't seem to have much of a sense of what defines the disciplines they are attacking. They do, of course, each cite their favorite examples. English is a perennial favorite, but Bill Bennett (himself a PhD in philosophy) has targeted philosophy; the Governor of my own state, Pat McCrory, singled out Women's & Gender Studies, and compared it unfavorably to heating and air-conditioning maintenance; Governor Rick Scott of Florida cited anthropology. Just last week, Jeb Bush took on psychology. But as we know, the liberal disciplines as we understand them include many that our critics invariably exempt from their criticisms. Biology, chemistry, and mathematics are all well-established components of the liberal arts and sciences and no one suggests that they are useless. If we were to list all of the disciplines administratively represented among the arts and sciences, I expect that we could divide them into two groups based on the frequency with which they are denigrated by some politician or other in terms of their inutility.

But surely we can do better than to define the liberal disciplines just as those that encompass something not apparently practical but perhaps at least defensible in practical terms. I don't think we do it by pointing to a list. The old lists (such as the seven *artes liberales* that make up the classical *trivium* and *quadrivium*) are outdated and unhelpful and it's hard to know where one would turn for an authoritative alternative. Looking to the constituent departments of our own colleges

and schools gives us a more contemporary perspective but is in many ways equally unhelpful. As I noted a moment ago, colleges are not constituted solely on the basis of a rigorous definition of what disciplines ought to be in them, but on the basis of a mixture of coherence, the accidents of history, and political and administrative convenience. My own College of Arts & Sciences, for example, includes many of the "traditional" liberal disciplines, but it lacks economics (part of our School of Business and Economics) and theatre (included within a professional School of Music, Theatre, and Dance) and it includes Interior Architecture, a professional program that joined the College some years ago as a fugitive from an administrative reorganization of two professional schools. It also has Computer Science, a discipline often located in schools of engineering. I'm sure that all of you have similar idiosyncrasies in your own colleges. There really is no canonical, even if disputed, list of "liberal disciplines."

I want to suggest that the test of whether a discipline should be designated as liberal in its practices and applications is as follows: A discipline is liberal in the extent to which it contributes to its practitioners' ability to responsibly exercise important freedoms as members of their society. That's rather complicated, so let me do some unpacking:

- First: By **practitioners** I mean two groups of individuals the students who study liberal disciplines and are learning how to make use of the knowledge they provide, and the scholars and teachers who discover new knowledge in those disciplines and thereby teach the students who study them.
- Second, **important freedoms**. We could spend a good many hours trying to characterize the freedoms that are enabled by the liberal disciplines and while I think that would be an interesting exercise, it's not one I'm prepared to undertake today. I do believe we should include freedom from economic want, which is why establishing the ability of liberal arts graduates to earn a living is not unimport-

- ant. However, the various possible definitions of "economic want" lie on a very long continuum. Just because one cannot afford a 15-room penthouse on Central Park West does not mean one is therefore suffering from economic want. The stereotype of the unfortunate "starving artist" needs to be tempered by the realization that a lot of people can be quite satisfied by a relatively modest standard of living, provided that their lives are enriched in other ways. Another very important freedom is the freedom of unrestrained inquiry, whether inside the academy or outside, about which I'll have more to say shortly. But I'll leave the enumeration of additional important freedoms we might want to consider as an exercise for you to work on after class.
- A third important feature of the liberal disciplines is that they encourage responsible exercise of the freedoms they enable. Mindfulness about the ethical consequences of our actions is something that the liberal disciplines take, or should take, very seriously. A liberal education seeks to educate students about ethical expectations and we are rightfully disappointed when more mature practitioners ignore those expectations, as in instances of academic plagiarism or scientific fraud. Of course, professional disciplines, such as business and medicine, are also concerned with ethical questions, but they draw heavily on the liberal disciplines (especially philosophy) for guidance on ethical issues.
- Finally, the social context. The liberal disciplines certainly enhance the individual lives of their practitioners, whether students or professionals, and that's one important reason for recommending their value in our educational system. However, as I noted earlier, we want to argue that higher education generally, and liberal education specifically, must be understood as a public good, not just a private benefit. When we do that, we take on an obligation to explain the value it provides to each individual's contributions as a member of society. So, we believe that our students benefit

individually from the education they receive in the liberal disciplines, but also that our society is enriched by the engagement of its liberally educated members. The same can be said for the faculty who are the professional practitioners of the liberal disciplines and discover new knowledge. That activity may indeed enrich the lives of individual scholars and researchers but its real value, understood as a process of liberal inquiry, is what it contributes to the social good, not just to the benefit of individuals.

If we take this as a place to start thinking about the liberal disciplines, we are led in some interesting directions, not all of them immediately congenial to some conventional defenses of the liberal arts. For one thing, the definition leads us in more instrumental directions than some advocates of the liberal arts may like. I've been talking about understanding a discipline's liberality "in its practices and applications" and I mean that formulation to be taken seriously. It's undeniable that scholarship in the humanities, for example, expands and enriches our understanding of our literary and cultural heritage, and that studying those things is enriching and possibly even ennobling for the individual who undertakes it. But is that enough to justify a societal investment in the humanities? Many critics of higher education say that it is not – indeed, the humanities feature prominently when the liberal disciplines generally are subject to criticism. One response is certainly that studying the humanities as part of a liberal education enhances students' ability to contribute thoughtfully as members of civic society, but I think there is a broader kind of advocacy open to us, if we are willing to embrace it. Let me quote from an Op-Ed column in the New York Times last month by David Brooks entitled "The Big University." Brooks writes about what he takes to be modern universities' failure to "cultivate their students' spiritual and moral natures" but he also writes approvingly of our efforts to "stem the careerist tide and to widen the system's narrow definition of achievement,"

particularly by supporting the humanities. He offers several prescriptions for doing this, including the following:

"Fourth, apply the humanities. The social sciences are not shy about applying their disciplines to real life. But literary critics, philosophers and art historians are shy about applying their knowledge to real life because it might seem too Oprahesque or self-helpy. They are afraid of being prescriptive because they idolize individual choice.

"But the great works of art and literature have a lot to say on how to tackle the concrete challenges of living, like how to escape the chains of public opinion, how to cope with grief or how to build loving friendships. Instead of organizing classes around academic concepts — 19th-century French literature — more could be organized around the concrete challenges students will face in the first decade after graduation."²

This quotation from Brooks is an instance of what I mean by the "practices and implementation" of the liberal disciplines. On the account I am offering, the humanities can be counted among the liberal disciplines only to the extent that they embrace their potential for promoting the responsible exercise of important freedoms in a societal context, one instance of which is by helping to address some of the concrete challenges faced by society and its members.

I am not arguing here that the humanities, or the liberal disciplines generally, should become applied branches of knowledge. There is, of course, an increasing number of good examples of such application, particularly in community-engaged research and scholarship where the focus of a research program is to leverage the intellectual fruits of scholarly inquiry into publicly appreciated and valued insights and understanding. The field of public history is a particularly good example of this, and there are many others. We want to defend higher education generally, and liberal education

² http://www.nytimes.com/2015/10/06/opinion/david-brooks-the-big-university.html?_r=0 (print edition, October 6, 2015, p. A31)

in particular, as a public good, not just a private benefit, and we should defend investment in the liberal disciplines more broadly conceived in the same way, by being self-consciously concerned with the ways in which they support the exercise of important freedoms to the benefit of society. Let us by all means point out that one can make a perfectly good living with a degree in medieval history, even (who knows) become the CEO of a major corporation, but let us also show how that discipline benefits the broader society of which we are members.

The familiar discourse about liberal education takes the perspective of the student, examining the benefits to be gained from the teaching and learning that goes on in the classroom. Let me turn now to the other group of practitioners of the liberal disciplines: the scholars and researchers who uncover the new knowledge that can be taught to students. Inquiry in the liberal disciplines tends to be driven more by curiosity than by the desire to solve particular problems, although that statement should not be taken naively at face value. No one supposes that liberal inquiry advances very well when individual investigators simply pursue whatever questions happen to strike them as personally interesting. Inquiry in all disciplines is constrained by some set of communally accepted structures and rubrics that define what count as interesting and important questions, and what are the acceptable range of methodologies for investigating them. This is what Thomas Kuhn, in The Structure of Scientific Revolutions3, referred to as "normal science," and something like it goes on in every organized scholarly discipline. The structures and rubrics change, certainly, sometimes at a gradual evolutionary tempo, sometimes in more dramatic and revolutionary ways. My point is that even the most purely "curiosity-driven" inquiry typical of the liberal disciplines always takes place within some sort of more-or-less broadly endorsed theoretical or conceptual framework, articulated in canonical writings and implemented by the

professional judgments of editorial boards and reviewers, granting agencies, dissertation advisors, and tenure committees, among others.

One criterion that those judgments generally do not invoke is whether a particular piece of inquiry will have some immediate practical or economic payoff. We're often asked, by legislators, trustees, or members of the general public, to justify some of the research of our faculty. Dismissing such requests out of hand is generally not an option (at least, not a very helpful one) and my definition of the liberal disciplines implies that the work that we do should be beneficial in a social, not just an individual context. It's true that we can sometimes point to immediate payoffs of research, especially in the sciences, but we need to be a little cautious about generalizing too much from such examples, just as we should be cautious about the example of the liberal arts graduate who makes a 6-figure income in her first job. These examples may be nice but they are not typical and tend to set up inappropriate expectations. The fact is that most inquiry in the liberal disciplines does not have immediate practical payoffs and we must be prepared to argue that that's OK, even desirable. We have to make societal investments in endeavors whose payoff is not immediately obvious, and may not even exist, because without the knowledge resulting from those endeavors, we constrain our understanding of the world in potentially dangerous and certainly disadvantaging ways.

The dangers of looking only at immediate payoffs apply in the lab sciences just as much as they do in other, more vulnerable disciplines. In North Carolina in the last several years, the biotech and pharmaceutical industries have been tremendous drivers of economic expansion. According to the NC Biotechnology Center⁴, in 2012 these sectors generated \$73 billion in economic activity and showed a 31% growth in employment over the preceding decade, contributing roughly half of all new jobs in the state. The state invests substantially in those sectors and is eager to support university-based research in biotech and

³ Thomas S. Kuhn, The Structure of Scientific Revolutions (1st edition). Chicago: Chicago University Press, 1962.

⁴ http://www.ncbiotech.org/business-commercialization/why-choose-nc/numbers

drug discovery. Even here, some of the claims of immediate economic payoff may be overstated but there's not much question that these areas of research are far more likely to lead to such payoffs than are many others, even in biology and chemistry. But these payoffs do not occur in a vacuum. They build on numerous other inquiries that were undertaken without any hope or expectation of immediate, or even of any, practical benefit. The biotechnology industry, with all of its undoubted economic benefits, depends for its existence on decades of curiosity-driven research into genetics and cellular and molecular biology. No one imagined that those research projects would have any particular economic or practical benefit and, if they did imagine it, it would have been impossible to know which projects would lead to such payoffs and which would turn out to be inconsequential dead ends.

An analogy I have found to appeal to business groups in particular is between curiosity-driven research in science and venture capital investments in business. Venture capital firms invest billions of dollars annually in highly risky ventures most of which, they know absolutely, will fail. But at the same time, they know absolutely that some of them will succeed. It's just that no one, not even Warren Buffett, knows just which will pay off and which won't. If there were no venture capital firms willing to take those risks, industrial innovation would eventually come to an end.

We mustn't claim that there will be unanticipated economic benefits from curiosity-driven research across all of the liberal disciplines. That plays into the assumption that all inquiry *should* have economic benefits, even if we can't tell right away what they are. Rather, we must clearly articulate how the knowledge and understanding produced by such research benefits society, whether by enriching cultural opportunities, by helping us understand the historical and cultural context of contemporary events, by giving us new ideas about the proper administration of justice, or by predicting how demographic shifts will affect future demand for social services, to name just a

few. The NEH's The Common Good initiative⁵, launched earlier this year, is an example of this kind of opportunity to speak more broadly about the value of work done in the liberal disciplines.

We make advances and achieve important ends, whether in our personal lives, in business investments, in education, in artistic creation, or in the process of intellectual inquiry and discovery, by taking risks. Some of those risks lead to failure or at least to outcomes whose value may not be immediately apparent and we try to mitigate the downside consequences in various ways – by due diligence in advance, by not taking too many risks at the same time, by installing protections of one kind or another But if all the outcomes we achieve are just those we have foreseen in advance, if every path leads to a safe and comfortable conclusion, then we are not taking enough risks and we are undoubtedly foregoing important benefits that can't be anticipated or, in some cases, even described.

Much of the curiosity-driven research undertaken in the liberal disciplines is risky. This is not just because a lot of it doesn't produce any immediate socially beneficial return (whether economic or any other kind), but because some of it poses questions and pursues inquiries that tend to trouble entrenched interests of one kind or another. This points up the special importance to our disciplines of tenure and other guarantees of academic freedom. As scholars and researchers we use the intellectual tools of our disciplines to make sense of the world, to answer questions, sometimes possibly disquieting questions, about it, and to teach the methods and results of that inquiry to others (be they students, colleagues, or interested members of the public). Beyond the walls of the academy, where the protections of tenure don't apply, it's harder to secure the freedom of unrestrained inquiry and expression that is one of the freedoms most importantly engaged by working in a liberal discipline. Liberal inquiry leads in unpredictable directions towards unsuspected ends, and the more thoroughly one embraces that kind of liberality, the more one risks

⁵ http://www.neh.gov/commongood

displeasing powerful and important interests. It's hard to engage in liberal inquiry without legal and social protections of some kind.

If, as I have suggested, we define the liberal disciplines by how they conduct themselves, we can see that a particular discipline might be liberal in one instantiation but not in another. It's possible for a discipline like chemistry, for example, to be so narrowly practical and unconcerned with any broader implications of its discoveries and ways of knowing that we'd hesitate to designate it as liberal. But in most of our colleges, chemists are generally as liberal in their outlook as historians or philosophers – that's why those particular chemists are working in a College of Arts & Sciences rather than in some other, more narrowly professional environment. We can say the same about a discipline like modern languages – the development and application of the Rosetta Stone language lessons is a practical, not a liberal enterprise, whereas the superficially similar work that goes on in our language departments is, or should be. Part of our responsibility, and that of our faculty, is to explain what makes such humanistic inquiry liberal, and why that is important.

In a similar vein, I think there's no reason that professional disciplines such as business, medicine, or engineering cannot, in principle, take on some of the attributes of the liberal disciplines for which we are advocates. In the 1970s and 1980s, Samuel Florman's writings, such as *The Existential Pleasures of Engineering* and *Engineering and the Liberal Arts*⁶ explored ways in which the values of the liberal arts can be seen in and incorporated into the professional practice of engineering (and Florman is a businessman, not a university professor). More recently, Loni Bordeloi and James Winebrake⁷ follow Florman's lead by advocating greater integration of the liberal arts into the engineering curriculum. The benefits

of a liberal arts education for the practice of medicine have been quite widely recognized for some time. In a recent review in the *New York Review of Books*⁸, Jerome Groopman, Professor of Medicine at Harvard Medical School, asks "Who will be the best doctors?" and continues:

"Some argue that those with refined senses from studying painting or sculpture or music, or those who have delved deeply into novels that explore character, will be more insightful observers of the patient and his distress."

Perhaps recognizing this, Brown University offers a Program in Liberal Medical Education that combines a 4-year liberal arts degree with a 4-year MD⁹.

There seems to be an increased willingness on the part of many professional disciplines to rethink the ways in which professional and liberal educations can be mutually reinforcing. Continuing that dialog could help to clarify the complementary contributions of the liberal and professional disciplines not just to education but in a broader social context as well.

There comes a point in every public presentation when the audience breaths a collective, though polite sigh of relief and stops glancing surreptitiously at its watches and that's the point at which the speaker utters the magic words, "In conclusion ..."

So, in conclusion, I believe that our work as advocates for the liberal disciplines can be enhanced by periodic reassessment and reimagining of what those disciplines entail. We tell our students that they live in a changeable and unpredictable world and that their education in the arts and sciences is the best preparation for dealing with it. We live in the same world, and change and unpredictability will be part of our future as CCAS embarks on its second half-century of advocacy.

⁶ Samuel C. Florman, *Engineering and the Liberal Arts*. New York: McGraw Hill, 1968; *The Existential Pleasures of Engineering*. New York: St. Martin's Press, 1976. See also *The Civilized Engineer*. New York: St. Martin's Press, 1987.

⁷ Loni M. Bordeloi & James J. Winebrake, "Bringing the Liberal Arts to Engineering Education." *Chronicle of Higher Education*, Aril 27, 2015.

⁸ Jerome Groopman, "A Doctor's Body Language." (Review of Adventures in Human Being: A Grand Tour from the Cranium to the Calcaneum, by Gavin Francis.) New York Review of Books, Nov. 5, 2015, p. 49.

⁹ http://www.brown.edu/academics/medical/plme/





Tim Johnston, University of North Carolina at Greensboro, President and Elizabeth A. Say, President-Elect, CCAS and 2015 Program Chair

Elizabeth A. Say Assumes CCAS Presidency

Elizabeth A. Say has been a member of CCAS since 2005 and says that she values the professional development programming that CCAS provides as well as the opportunity to network with and learn from her decanal colleagues across the country. She has served on the standing committees on Cultural Diversity and Gender Issues, directed the CCAS Seminar for Department Chairs, and has led CCAS Leadership Development Workshops for Department Chairs on a number of member campuses. She was first elected to the Board of Directors in 2010.

Say has spent her professional career at California State University, Northridge, where she is the Dean of the College of Humanities. As Dean, she provides leadership to a faculty and staff of almost 400 in eight departments as well as six interdisciplinary programs. Previously, Say was the Associate Dean of Humanities, Professor and founding Chair of the Department of Women's Studies, and a faculty member in the Department of Religious Studies. An alumna of CSUN, she received her B.A. in English and Religious Studies in 1981, and her Ph.D. in Religious Social Ethics at University of Southern California in 1988.

Her research and publications are in the areas of women and religion, gay and lesbian studies, and academic administration. She is completing the editing (with past-CCAS President Mary Anne Fitzpatrick) of *The History and Future of Liberal Arts Education: From the Desk of the Dean.* She has held leadership roles in the American Academy of Religion, the National Women's Studies Association, and the Western Commission on the Study of Religion.



CCAS membership is based on the institution and not the Dean or the individual College. If a Dean moves from a CCAS member institution to a non-member institution, the Dean must apply for CCAS membership for new institution to continue CCAS membership benefits.

Membership Dues

SIZE	DUES
Very Small	\$375.00
Small	\$375.00
Medium	\$500.00
Large	\$740.00

The Executive Office Staff

Executive Director

Anne-Marie McCartan

Member Services Coordinator Gayle Helmling

> Office Specialist Nichelle Wright

Graphic Designer

Jean Pokorny

Contact Us

Phone	(757) 221-1784
Fax	(757) 221-1776
Email	<u>ccas@wm.edu</u>
Web site	<u>www.ccas.net</u>

CCAS Mailing Address

Council of Colleges of Arts and Sciences c/o The College of William & Mary PO Box 8795 Williamsburg, VA 23187-8795

> For UPS or FedEx ONLY 213 Ironbound Road Alexander Galt House Williamsburg, VA 23188

> > FEI: 42-6122857

www.ccas.net

Results Released on Salaries for New Faculty

The results of the CCAS survey on salaries and start-up packages for incoming faculty in 2015 (tenure-track and tenured) are now available to the



membership. Mean starting salaries are up nearly three percent from the previous year, with Computer and Information Sciences once again topping the list for the highest-paid entry-level salaries for assistant professors.

The full data file, which can be arrayed by Carnegie classification, geographic area, discipline and academic specialty, can be

obtained for \$150. Simply go to www.ccas.net > Resources > 2015 New Hires Survey. Those submitting data for the survey were sent the file in mid-November. If you are uncertain if this applies to you or not, just write us at ccas@wm.edu.

2016 Standing Committee Chairs Named

President **Elizabeth A. Say** has appointed the following members as chairs for the coming year.

COMMITTEE ON ASSOCIATE AND ASSISTANT DEANS **Joe Wilferth**, associate dean of Arts and Sciences, *University of Tennessee at Chattanooga*

COMMITTEE ON COMPREHENSIVE INSTITUTIONS **Dolores Guerrero**, interim dean of Arts and Sciences, *Texas A&M University Kingsville*

COMMITTEE ON CULTURAL DIVERSITY **Marcelo Sztainberg**, associate dean of Arts and Sciences, *Northeastern Illinois University*

COMMITTEE ON GENDER ISSUES **Kristin Sobolik**, dean of Liberal Arts, *Wright State University*

COMMITTEE ON LIBERAL ARTS INSTITUTIONS **James L. Simon**, dean of Arts and Sciences, *New York Institute of Technology*

COMMITTEE ON METROPOLITAN/URBAN INSTITUTIONS **Richard Greenwald**, dean of Humanities and Social Sciences, *CUNY Brooklyn College*

COMMITTEE ON PRIVATE INSTITUTIONS **Elizabeth Kavran**, dean of Arts and Sciences, *Ursuline College*

COMMITTEE ON RESEARCH INSTITUTIONS **Theresa M. Lee**, dean of Arts and Sciences, *The University of Tennessee at Knoxville*



Election Results for Officers and Term Representatives



Congratulations to the deans elected to the CCAS Board of Directors

Four deans were elected to the CCAS Board of Directors at the recent Annual Meeting, and **David Manderscheid** (The Ohio State University, seated in center) was voted in as President-Elect. Term representatives to the Class of 2018 are, from the left, **Luis Falcón** (U of Massachusetts Lowell), **John R.D. Stalvey** (U of Alaska Anchorage), **Julia Johnson** (U of Wisconsin, La Crosse), and **Bret Danilowicz** (Oklahoma State U).

New Resources on Mediating Conflict

DOES THIS SOUND FAMILIAR?

Students, staff, faculty, and department chairs/heads often bring their conflicts to the Dean's office. In some cases, the Dean wonders whether he/she should personally lead a problem-solving session to resolve the issue.

To address this question, at this fall's Annual Meeting, conflict expert Suzanne McCorkle, Ph.D., conducted a highly successful workshop on "Mediating Conflict: Roles for Deans." The four-hour session (a) examined the types of cases where the Dean could

intervene as a mediator, (b) presented a model for conducting a problem-solving mediation session, and (c) explored how to hire the right mediator when outside assistance is the best choice.

By special arrangement, we are making available two papers she designed specifically for this purpose.

The Dean as Mediator

Hiring a Mediator

These useful resources also can be viewed on <u>ccas.net</u> under RESOURCES.



A&S Advocacy Award Goes to David J. Skorton



President Timothy D. Johnston presents CCAS 2015 Arts & Sciences Advocacy Award to David J. Skorton, Secretary of the Smithsonian.

he Board of Directors recognized David J. Skorton with its 2015 Arts & Sciences Advocacy Award. The announcement was made November 6 at CCAS 50th Annual Meeting in Washington, D.C.

The CCAS Arts & Sciences Advocacy Award honors an individual or organization demonstrating exemplary advocacy for the arts and sciences, flowing from a deep commitment to the intrinsic worth of liberal arts education.

"...an education in the liberal arts is essential and can transform individuals and society for the common good."

In presenting the award, 2014-2015 President Timothy D. Johnston, Dean of Arts & Sciences at the University of North Carolina at Greensboro (at left in photo), announced that CCAS was honoring Skorton for being a "tireless and effective advocate for the benefits of a liberal arts education." A cardiologist by training, Skorton served previously as president of the University of Iowa and most recently at Cornell University. This past July, he assumed the position of Secretary of the Smithsonian Institution, the world's largest museum and research complex. Added Johnston, "As a scientist, physician, and engineer, Dr. Skorton understands the importance of STEM disciplines and research. But he also believes that to understand what it means to be human and to understand the complex problems the world is facing requires the use of all the techniques we have at our disposal as humans, including the arts, humanities, and the social sciences."

In accepting the award, Skorton thanked the Council and told the 500 assembled deans that he "strongly believes that an education in the liberal arts is essential and can transform individuals and society for the common good." Further, he "pledged to continue to champion these endeavors that are so central to our shared humanity. The colleges of arts and sciences are absolutely critical in our shared journey to understand and to inspire."

Changing of the Guard

Kathleen Alaimo, dean of arts and sciences at Saint Xavier University, has been appointed interim provost. **Greg Coutts** is the acting dean.

Lisa Boehm has been named dean of arts and sciences at Manhattanville College.

Candace Chambers, dean of arts and sciences at Maryville University, is returning to faculty. **Cherie Fister** has been named dean.

Charles W. Eaker, dean of liberal arts at University of Dallas, has been appointed provost and chief academic officer. **Jonathan Sanford** has been named dean.

Susan Gano-Phillips is the interim dean of arts and sciences at University of Michigan, Flint.

Karen Gil, dean of arts and sciences at University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, is returning to faculty. **Kevin Guskiewicz** has been named dean.

Mary Harris from interim dean to dean of academic affairs at Cabrini College.

Janet Hethorn has been named dean of communication and fine arts at Central Michigan University.

Russell Ivy, interim dean of science at Florida Atlantic University, has been appointed associate provost for academic programs and assessment there. **Janet Blanks** is the interim dean.

David Lee, dean of arts and letters at Western Kentucky University, has been appointed provost and vice president of academic affairs there. **Larry Snyder** is the interim dean.

Carl Lejuez has been named dean of liberal arts and sciences at The University of Kansas.

William Falls has been named interim dean of arts and sciences at University of Vermont.

Anne Mabry has been named interim dean of arts and sciences at New Jersey City University.

James E. Major, dean of fine arts at Illinois State University, is retiring. **Jean M.K. Miller** has been named dean.

John Matachek, dean of liberal arts at Hamline University, has been appointed its interim provost. **Marcela Kostihova** is the interim dean.

Fritz Messere, dean of communication, media and the arts at State University of New York at Oswego, is retiring. **Julie Pretzat** has been named dean.

H. Joseph Newton, dean of science at Texas A&M University, has returned to faculty. **Meigan Aronson** has been named dean.

Lawrence T. Potter, Jr., has been named dean of arts and sciences at University of La Verne.

Lorna Shaw-Berbick has been named dean of the university at Kentucky State University.

Danille Taylor has been named interim dean of arts and sciences at Clark Atlanta University.

Adam Tuchinsky has been named interim dean of arts, humanities and social sciences at University of Southern Maine.

David Carl Wilson, dean of arts and sciences at Webster University, is returning to faculty. **Jennifer Broeder** and **Joseph Stimpfl** are interim co-deans.

New Members

Bethel College – Arts and Sciences Janna McLean, dean

California State University,
Bakersfield – School of Arts and
Humanities

Richard Collins, dean

California State University, Bakersfield – School of Natural Sciences, Mathematics, and Engineering Anne Houtman, dean

California State University,
Bakersfield – School of Social
Sciences & Education
Kathleen Knutzen, dean

Edinboro University – College of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences

Scott Miller, interim dean

Edinboro University – College of Science and Health Professions Nathan Ritchey, dean and vice president strategic initiatives

Middle Georgia State University – College of Arts & Sciences Ron Williams, dean

New York Institute of Technology – College of Arts & Sciences James Simon, dean

Northeastern University – College of Social Sciences and Humanities Uta Poiger, dean

Saint Mary's College of California – School of Liberal Arts

Sheila Hassell Hughes, dean

University of Michigan – College of Literature, Science and the Arts | Division of Undergraduate Education

Angela Dillard, associate dean

University of Minnesota – College of Biological Sciences Valery Forbes, dean

University of Saint Joseph – School of Health and Natural Sciences
Raouf Boules, dean

University of Utah – College of Humanities Dianne Harris, dean